

## COMPLICATED DIVERTICULITIS AND MANAGEMENT

**Diverticulosis** – presence of diverticuli, a false diverticulum, of mucosal herniation covered only by serosa. These are usually at the weak portion of the bowel wall where the vasa recta penetrate. Usual symptoms include bleeding.

- 1/3 people > 45 yrs
- 2/3 people > 85 yrs.

**Diverticulitis** – inflammation of diverticuli. Erosion of diverticular wall, followed by small perforation that then is usually walled off by pericolic fat/mesentery. This may then cause secondary complications -- localized abscess, fistula, obstructions.

### Signs & Symptoms

- LLQ pain in 70% of patients (although RLQ pain has been described in 10%)
- Fever
- Leukocytosis
- ausea, Vomiting
- Urinary frequency if bladder/ureter are irritated
- History of pneumaturia or recurrent urinary tract infections (colovesicular fistulas)
- Feculent vaginal discharge (fistulas with the uterus or vagina)
- Severe and generalized abdominal pain (diffuse peritonitis)

### Diagnostic Imaging

- CT scan – Evaluates extramural inflammation, as well as abscesses.
- Contrast enema – Used when diagnosis is unclear on CT scan. Gastrograffin is safe and may indicate the site of perforation.
- Endoscopy – Relatively contraindicated in acute diverticulitis, as air insufflation may cause a sealed perforation to become a free leak.

### Uncomplicated in 75% of first attacks

- Managed by antibiotics successfully in about 80%.
- Should be reevaluated once inflammation cools down, by colonoscopy.
- 25% will have a second acute attack requiring surgery.

### Complicated defined as:

- Perforation
- Abscess
- Obstruction
- Fistula

**Hinchey classification** (of perforated diverticular disease)

- **I** Pericolic abscess
- **IIa** Distant pelvic abscess (amenable to percutaneous drainage)
- **IIb** Complex abscess, often with fistula
- **III** Generalized purulent peritonitis
- **IV** Generalized fecal peritonitis

**SURGICAL TREATMENT**

**Practice Parameters For The Treatment Of Sigmoid Diverticulitis**

The Standards Task Force, The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons. 2000; 43(3): 289-297

**ELECTIVE/NONURGENT**

- Must remove all thickened, diseased colon – but not necessarily all proximal diverticula-bearing colon as long as not hypertrophied
- All of the sigmoid colon should be removed.
- Anastomose to normal rectum. Must be tension-free, well vascularized.

**Noncomplicated Diverticulitis (elective)**

- Primary resection and anastomosis without a protective stoma

**Hinchey I-IIa**

- 70-90% can be successfully drained by CT guided drainage.
- If so, surgical resection is not mandatory – but insufficient data for universal endorsement of this concept.
- Surgical options include:
  - Primary resection and anastomosis – less mortality/morbidity.
  - Resection, primary anastomosis with proximal diverting stoma (colostomy or ileostomy) with later stoma closure -- if relative contraindications to primary anastomosis

**EMERGENT**

- Resection and diversion are required.
- In selected cases where sepsis can be removed, definitive resection with anastomosis (with or without proximal stoma) may be appropriate.
- On-table colonic lavage recommended.

**Hinchey IIb**

- Colovesical (48-65%), colovaginal (44%), colocutaneous (4%), coloenteric (2%)
- Resection of the diseased segment of colon, with repair of the contiguous organ.
- In the majority of cases, a primary resection and anastomosis can be performed

**Hinchey III-IV**, free perforation with 6-35% mortality

- Two-stage procedure (Hartmann's) with initial resection of the diseased segment and proximal fecal diversion for patients with fecal contamination and inflammation.
- Classic three-stage procedure if inflammation prevents resection and anastomosis:
  - transverse colostomy, drainage of paracolic abscess
  - colon resection
  - colostomy reversal
- Associated with a higher mortality (26%)

**SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

- **Recurrent Attacks**
  - Increased adhesions & higher probability of fistulas making surgery more difficult.
  - With each recurrent episode the patient is less likely to respond to medical therapy
  - (70 % respond to medical therapy after the 1st attack vs. 6 % chance after the 3rd).
  - **ASCRS Consensus:** After two attacks of uncomplicated diverticulitis, resection is recommended. Resection may be recommended for patients with complicated diverticulitis after a single attack.
- **“Young” Patients (<50 years old)**
  - Whereas less than 1/3 of patients with diverticular disease require surgery at presentation, it has been suggested that up to 2/3 of young patients require operative intervention at presentation
    - led some to hypothesize “more aggressive” disease.
    - or surgical preference of surgeons in patients who are more likely better surgical candidates with more future chance of 2<sup>nd</sup> attack.
  - Some surgeons recommend **Elective Resection** in the young patient after **ONE** well-documented episode of uncomplicated diverticulitis??

“Long-term follow-up after first acute episode of sigmoid diverticulitis: is surgery mandatory?: a prospective study of 118 patients”. Chautems et al. Diseases of Colon & Rectum. 45(2002):962-6.

- Purpose: evaluate long-term history of patients after first acute episode and role of elective colectomy in patients successful with medical management.
- 144 patients, median follow-up 9.5 years.
- Complications highest (54% at 5 years) for young patients with severe diverticulitis on CT.
- Young age and severe diverticulitis taken separately were both statistically significant factors of poor outcome (P = 0.007 and P = 0.003, respectively), although age was no longer significant after stratification for disease severity on computed tomography (P = 0.07).
- Conclusion: Elective colectomy should be offered to young patients with severe diverticulitis on CT (according to Ambrosetti's criteria).

“Diverticulitis in Young Patients: Is Resection After a Single Attack Always Warranted?” Guzzo and Hyman (UVM). Diseases of Colon & Rectum. 47 (2004): 1187-1191.

- Retrospective chart review of 762 patients (259 young patients)
- 24% young with immediate surgery [similar to 22% of older population]
- Of 196 medically managed, only 41 (16%) had later surgery mostly elective – 1 for perforation.
- Conclusion: Risk of perforation in young patients with medical management is low (0.5%). Advising surgery based on potential “risks” based on younger age does not seem justified. It would be more appropriate to base surgery on further symptoms or recurrent episodes.

Alexei Wedmid, MD  
September 19, 2005