

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT AND OUTCOMES IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS

Removal of the entire colon and rectum is curative, so surgical treatment is the “gold standard.”

Indications for Operation:

- Intractability:
 - colitis refractory to medical management
 - often due to side effects of medical treatments
 - most common indication for operation
 - fulminant colitis: attack of significant diarrhea, intolerable abdominal pain, and clinical deterioration ⇒ early operation
- Dysplasia/Carcinoma:
 - high-grade dysplasia ⇒ absolute indication
 - low-grade dysplasia ⇒ controversial; many recommend
 - difficult to diagnosis in setting of active inflammation; should be confirmed independently by two experienced pathologists
- Massive Colonic Bleeding:
 - very infrequent; less than 5% of urgent UC colectomies
 - most respond to conservative management
- Toxic Megacolon:
 - acute colitis accompanied by significant colonic dilatation
 - high fever, severe abdominal pain, tenderness, tachycardia, leukocytosis
 - predisposed to perforation
 - treatment: IVF resuscitation, antibiotics, steroids, immunosuppressives
 - clinical deterioration despite above ⇒ urgent operation

Operations:

- Total Proctocolectomy with End-Ileostomy:
 - removes entire colon, rectum, and anus
 - performed in one stage; avoids problems of multiple operations
 - disadvantages: permanent stoma, problems with healing perineal wound
 - indicated in poor candidates for restorative proctocolectomy
 - elderly and incontinent
- Total Abdominal Colectomy with Hartmann’s Closure or Mucous Fistula:
 - used in acutely sick patients (fulminant colitis, toxic megacolon)
 - avoids long operative time and pelvic dissection
 - can leave seriously diseased rectum, rectal bleeding can continue
 - also indicated if Crohn’s vs. UC cannot be determined preoperatively
- Total Proctocolectomy with Ileal Pouch-Anal Anastomosis:
 - gold standard
 - avoids permanent stoma and permits BMs per rectum
 - requires good anorectal function and sphincter tone
 - generally performed on patients younger than 65

- many complications related to pouch and low anastomosis (see below)

Controversies:

- Temporary Diverting Ileostomy:
 - ileostomy protects low anastomosis ⇒ series of 110 pts had no leaks
 - ileostomy related complications at Mayo Clinic
 - mechanical ⇒ 39 of 157 (retraction, prolapse, fistula, abscess, bowel obstruction)
 - functional ⇒ 111 of 157 (peristomal irritation, leakage, high output, incomplete diversion)
 - studies have shown similar functional outcomes and complication rates with and without diversion
 - consensus: if anastomosis is under tension or blood supply is questionable ⇒ ileostomy
 - other factors to consider: patient's general health, comorbidities, nutritional status, anemia, age, steroids, immunosuppressive drugs
- Mucosectomy Vs. Double-Staple Technique:
 - Mucosectomy:
 - removal of all rectal mucosa with hand sewn anastomosis
 - advantage: eliminates all colonic mucosa, risk of later dysplasia
 - disadvantage: slight decrease in sphincter function, more nocturnal leaking, more technically difficult
 - absolute indication: dysplasia of rectum
 - Double-Staple:
 - retained short rectal segment, mucosa to mucosa stapled anastomosis
 - easier operation
 - better sphincter function, preserves transition zone and nerve endings
 - conflicting studies have shown both improved fecal continence at night with staples and no difference between techniques
 - septic complications had better prognosis with stapled anastomosis
- Laproscopic Vs. Open:
 - improved cosmesis, reduced pain, earlier return of bowel function,
 - earlier discharge vs. longer operative times
 - also decreased chronic pain and SBO laproscopically
- Age:
 - generally reserved for younger patients
 - however, Bauer et al. reported on 66 patients older than 50 with similar overall morbidity, mortality, and function when compared to 253 patients younger than 50

Complications:

- typical early and late complications of abdominal surgery: bleeding, infection, obstruction, etc.
- Anastomotic Complications:
 - up to 16% in major series with septic complications

- fistula, sinus, abscess with anastomosis
- management guidelines not well defined
- Gorfine et al report salvaging pouch function in 29 of 51 patients
- Pouchitis:
 - most common long-term complication
 - nonspecific inflammation of the ileal reservoir
 - frequent and looser stools, urgency, abdominal/pelvic discomfort
 - endoscopic/histologic evidence of nonspecific active acute inflammation
 - etiology unclear; bacterial proliferation, bacterial stasis, endotoxin
 - incidence varies from 8-59%
 - treatment: broad spectrum antibiotics (Flagyl)
 - long-term pouch function not compromised unless refractory
- Other complications:
 - Anastomotic Stricture
 - Cuffitis: only in patients without mucosectomy
 - Pouch-Vaginal Fistula

Outcomes:

- Functional:
 - series of 409 patients, 15 year follow-up (Mayo)
 - mean stool frequency per day: 5.5 at year 1, 6.2 at year 15
 - more daytime fecal incontinence, but not frequent episodes
 - at 5, 10, and 15 years, 100%, 95%, and 91% of patients were able to work at same job
- Patient Satisfaction:
 - most large series report greater than 80% patient satisfaction with surgery
 - even in study of patients with chronic pouchitis, 91% were satisfied, 94% would undergo the operation again if needed, and 95% would recommend it to other UC patients

References:

- Townsend. *Sabiston Textbook of Surgery*. 17th Ed, 2004.
- Larson DW, Pemberton JH. Current concepts and controversies in surgery for IBD. *Gastroenterology* 2004;126:1611-1619.
- Abdelrazeq AS, et al. Implications of pouchitis on the functional results following stapled restorative proctocolectomy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2005 May.
- Hahnloser D, Pemberton JH, et al. The effect of ageing on function and quality of life in ileal pouch patients. *Ann Surg* 2004;240:615-623.
- Bauer JJ, et al. Restorative proctocolectomy in patients older than fifty years. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997;40:562-565.
- Gorfine SR, et al. Long-term results of salvage surgery for septic complications after restorative proctocolectomy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2003 Oct; 46(10):1339-1344.

David Stern, M.D.
July 21, 2005